



Request for Proposals

Survey Development and Administration

as part of the Prototyping of a Standardised Approach for
Extended Student Teacher Internships

Timelines:

1. RfP opens: 13 March 2026
2. Optional online vendor Q&A sessions:
 - 18 March 2026, 08:30-10:00 (Watch the recording [here](#))
 - 20 March 2026, 12:00-13:00 (MS Teams Link [here](#))
3. Clarification questions: up to 20 March 2026 COB
4. Submission deadline: 27 March 2026 COB
5. Review and selection: 30 March - 3 April 2026
6. Award date: 8 April 2026

Background

TICZA was initiated in 2021 to explore effective ways of strengthening Work Integrated Learning (WIL), also known as school-based learning, practice-based learning, teaching practice, or teaching practicum, for student teachers completing Bachelor of Education (BEd) or Postgraduate Certificate in Education (PGCE) programmes through distance learning universities. See more here:

<https://www.jet.org.za/projects/all-projects/teacher-internship-collaboration-south-africa-ticza>

Over the past five years (2021–2025), the project has focused particularly on what it terms the Extended Student Teacher Internship programme, which emphasises placing student teachers in schools for an extended period while they receive wraparound support delivered through Implementation Partner (IP)-led programmes. Since its inception, TICZA has established a stakeholder network that includes funders, universities, government departments, knowledge and statutory organisations, teacher unions, and IPs.

To date, the work has focused on mapping the ESTI landscape, conducting surveys of IPs and student teachers, and testing the competency of newly qualified teachers who have participated in extended internships. See more about the first phase of the TICZA project (concluding at the end of 2025) here: <https://youtu.be/pBGWTZ0klw4>

Over the past four years, TICZA, functioning as a collective impact collaboration, has initiated and contributed to a wide range of activities. These included 18 Communities of Practice, which facilitated robust discussions, the sharing of best practices, and cross-institutional learning. TICZA also undertook various research initiatives such as Newly Qualified Teacher (NQT) competency testing and cost-effectiveness analyses. In addition, the collaboration took part in several conferences, including the Evidence Network Conference 2024 and the UNISA Teaching Practice Lekgotla, as well as multiple TICZA-hosted workshops and NASCEE-led webinars. TICZA has also produced several knowledge products, including the Implementation Compendium, the TICZA Digest, and various reports from the Communities of Practice and webinars. A summative evaluation of TICZA was completed in August 2025 (see here):

https://www.jet.org.za/resources/ticza-summative-evaluation-report_final/@_@display-file/file

From the inception of TICZA considerable effort went into creating a common agenda (as one of the five core components of the collective impact approach). In the early stages, the focus was quite wide, namely to (Shiohira et al., 2022):

- gather and share evidence regarding alternative teacher education pathways (ATEP), specifically extended student teacher internships, as a means of demonstrating their efficiencies and impact;
- establish such internships as a credible alternative pathway for ITE; and
- drive and organise cooperation between all partners involved in ITE to facilitate the effective adoption and implementation of internships as a formal pathway to a teaching qualification.

The notion of ESTIs in South Africa as an alternative pathway was debated at length, leading to a consensus to move away from the 'alternative' terminology while recognising that ESTIs represent a more vocationally oriented approach to initial teacher education (ITE). As the TICZA process matured it was agreed that the ESTI model constituted a mainstream offering that followed the same qualifications route as current existing B. Ed ITE training approaches albeit with the following modifications:

- distance education offered through an HEI; and

- WIL with Essential Wraparound Support (EWAS) (see McQueen 2025) offered by a non-profit implementing partner - see more here: <https://www.jet.org.za/resources/EWAS-ESTI>.

This model is therefore not to be understood as an alternative pathway based on a non-degree certification, although it may share similarities with other programmes that rely on intensive work-based experience to prepare potential teachers for the realities of the classroom. More on these alternatives is provided in the TICZA critical evidence review, see [here](#).

The focus and therefore the common agenda of TICZA soon became one of "institutionalising ESTIs" within South African ITE policies and practices. In order to empirically demonstrate that this ESTI model (which includes EWAS) was more effective than the mainstream offering, a quasi-experimental research design¹ was chosen. This choice of research design soon ran into limitations:

- The IPs offered diverse ESTI models - i.e., there was no standardised model that could be tested.
- Data collected from IPs was not representative enough of the participant population, with several challenges related to low numbers of participants, intellectual property restrictions, and a general low response rate.
- The critical role of the IP-HEI relationships was only established in the later period of TICZA as participation deepened and the evidence base developed.
- Key institutional relationships were still under development, limiting the ability to secure comparison group data from the DBE and also from UNISA (as a key distance teacher education provider).

The limitations outlined above presented an opportunity for TICZA to revisit the research agenda in order to re-imagine and refocus TICZA's efforts around its common agenda. This, in turn, led to a refined purpose for the research agenda and the adoption of an appropriate methodology. Through a series of realignment workshops and the validation of the TICZA Theory of Change, the re-imagining process has established a solid foundation co-created with the TICZA ESTI ecosystem.

The current formation of TICZA yielded two key innovations that are ready for testing and potentially proving the validity of the ESTI concept as one of the solutions for extant ITE theory-practice gaps. These innovations are the EWAS model and the Common Competency Framework (CCF). The EWAS model was developed to articulate the baseline support provided to student teachers undertaking an ESTI, including the 'professional, academic and emotional support [provided] for student teachers and [running] parallel to HEI modules', consisting of 'supervision, mentoring, professional development and assessment of student teachers' (McQueen, 2025, p. 8). The Common Competency Framework was collaboratively developed in alignment with the SACE Professional Teaching Standards, but with a specific focus on tailoring these into practice standards linked to observable classroom behaviours and actions by student teachers. The CCF will be used as a tool during the prototyping phase of TICZA to monitor the progression of student teacher interns during their WIL sessions, with the validation process for the CCF to be integrated into the

¹ The research design for Phase 1 of TICZA shifted from a longitudinal, comparative quasi-experimental model to a descriptive non-experimental design due to difficulties in establishing the parameters of a comparative group for activities such as competency testing. This was also due to the variation in programme structure and design among IPs. As an alternative, the research model shifted to focus on determining the factors and cost considerations of a standardised model for prototyping and institutionalisation, through activities such as the cost-effectiveness analysis, essential wraparound support report, and critical review of research and IP monitoring documents, with the aim of revisiting the quasi-experimental approach as part of Phase 2 activities.

TICZA prototyping research and evaluation plan. The clarity of the refined aim of the research enables the following:

- explicit delineation of a standardised model with essential wraparound support (EWAS) to be prototyped;
- utilisation of the TICZA Common Competency Framework (CCF) (including its validation) to measure teacher competence in a consistent manner in a pre/post research design, and with consideration of the vocational orientation;
- extrapolation of the cost effective analysis (CEA) conducted by Trialogue (but not released in the public domain due to its limited scope) into a full cost benefit analysis (CBA) that will use common metrics and focus on the affordability of the model for government funding schemas such as NSFAS, Funza Lushaka² and SETA learnerships; and
- identification of an explicit comparison group from distance education providers where EWAS is not included.

The primary objectives of this 24-month (2026-2028) prototyping phase are to:

1. **Demonstrate Competency:** Empirically test whether the standardised ESTI model identified through TICZA produces more competent teachers than those trained through standard distance education programmes.
2. **Determine Affordability and Viability:** Explore whether the model can be sustainably funded via public funded bursaries, and validate the usability, content and reliability of the CCF tool across experiment and control groups.
3. **Establish Evidence Base:** Generate a robust evidence base to inform decision-making regarding the institutionalisation and potential national scale-up of the ESTI model within the South African education system.
4. **Refine the Standardised ESTI Model:** Rigorously test and refine the fidelity of implementation of the standardised ESTI model and its Essential Wraparound Support (EWAS) components across participating Higher Education Institution (HEI) and Implementing Partner (IP) consortia.

The findings of the prototyping process are explicitly intended to have policy relevance, leading to actionable inputs for national policy instruments such as MRTEQ reviews, WIL frameworks, FLBP refinements, and teacher placement systems. Ultimately the prototyping process aims to contribute directly to systemic improvement.

² FLBP-funded student teachers is a state bursary scheme governed by DBE policy that has specific subject requirements and entry requirements, as well as service-obligation requirements. Participation of FLBP beneficiaries in the proposed prototyping will be fully aligned with FLBP policy frameworks, including placement priorities, monitoring arrangements and conditions of support.

Service: Survey development and administration

Objective

To develop, administer, and report on surveys of the TICZA prototyping process on student teachers, teacher educators, and mentor teachers, at baseline and endline.

Scope of Work

Table 1: Survey sample sizes

Group	Baseline (no of students)		Endline (no of students)	
	Intervention group	Comparison group	Intervention group	Comparison group
Student teachers	200	200	200	200
Teacher educators (paired with student teachers)	15	0	15	0
Mentor teachers (paired with student teachers)	30	0	30	0

The following minimum data points should be considered across both baseline and endline surveys across both intervention and comparison groups:

Table 2: Minimum data points for surveys

Data Point	Baseline	Endline	Rationale
Demographics	✓	✓	Standard covariates (age, gender, region, socio-economic indicators)
Institutional & Programme Information	✓	✓	Including current enrolment, previous level of education, current institution, year of programme, questions about study interruption or deferment (e.g.)
Work-integrated learning experience (baseline – previous; endline – period 2026-27)	✓	✓	Time spent and main activities
Perceived mentorship quality (baseline – previous; endline – period 2026-27)	✓	✓	Past mentorship experience; benefits, improvements (endline will include EWAS experience for treatment group)
Perceptions of assessment of WIL (baseline – previous; endline – period 2026-27)	✓	✓	Reflection on modalities used (observations, self-reporting etc), parties involved, depth, coverage, timing/ regularity of assessment.

Data Point	Baseline	Endline	Rationale
Academic alignment with WIL experiences	✓	✓	Extent to which student teachers feel capable to apply academic knowledge to WIL experiences and vice versa
EWAS support received	✓	✓	Professional, academic, and psychosocial support components
EWAS-Curriculum alignment	✓	✓	EWAS components align with core elements of ITE curriculum at HEI (how to word for comparison group?)
CCF usability and implementation	✓	✓	Qualitative or Likert, how CCF is perceived and used
Professional development participation and types of activities (baseline – previous; endline – period 2026-27)	✓	✓	Track PD exposure during internship period
Mentorship practices and quality (baseline – previous; endline – period 2026-27)	✓	✓	Frequency, nature of support; alignment to EWAS
School dynamics and professional identity	✓	✓	Experiences of working in schools; working with learners (discipline, support, classroom management, curriculum and assessment), working with mentor teachers and other staff (collegiality, professionalism, expected tasks, level of communication/ engagement/ participation in school and staff activities, etc)
Future plans and perceptions of the profession	✓	✓	For example where student teachers see themselves teaching, for how many years, whether they might migrate to another profession or country (determine any changes in attitude and retention across groups)

The survey may be delivered telephonically, via a platform, WhatsApp, or other solutions. Innovative approaches are encouraged.

Performance Requirements

1. The inception report must be approved before work commences.
2. A response rate of 90% or more is expected for the baseline across both evaluation and control groups due to the coordinated manner in which the prototyping is managed.
3. A response rate of 80% or more is expected across both evaluation and control groups for the endline to consider potential attrition between the two measurement points.

4. A financial penalty of 10% will be imposed for every 5% below the expected response rate for the tranche payment linked to the baseline and/or endline respectively.

Deliverables

5. Inception report of 10 pages (2 weeks after contracting).
6. Three approved survey instruments (student teachers, teacher educators and mentor teachers).
7. Draft Baseline report of 30 pages and slide deck (June 2026)
8. Final Baseline report of 30 pages and slide deck (July 2026)
9. Draft Endline report of 45 pages and slide deck (January 2028)
10. Final Endline report of 45 pages and slide deck (February 2028)
11. Data Collection/Field work report and Final dataset (anonymised) provided in Excel or other standard format, as well as contribution to ongoing data monitoring and quality assurance processes
12. Cross-services collaborations as will be agreed to within reasonable demands (e.g. seminars, COPs, planning and reflective sessions)

Project Duration and Budget

13. The baseline will take place between April and May 2026
14. The endline will take place between October and November 2027
15. The total budget for this service is R500,000 (VAT excl.)

Evaluation criteria

All bidders will be evaluated based on the following weighted matrix:

Table 3: Evaluation criteria

Criteria	Weight	Description
Compliance	10%	Supporting evidence for registration status of company, SARS good standing, BBBEE and audited financial statements not older than two years
Technical competence	60%	Ability to meet the specific service requirements
Relevant experience	20%	Past performance in similar projects
Budget	10%	Value for money

Governance and reporting requirements

The following TICZA governance structures will oversee and guide the work of service providers:

- TICZA Steering Committee: decision-making, appointments, quality assurance, monitoring of project deliverables and budget approvals
- TICZA Funders Group: monitoring of budget, guidance to secretariat
- TICZA Selection Committee: review and selection of submissions; recommendations to the Steering Committee for appointments

- TICZA Secretariat (JET Education Services): contracting, day-to-day reporting

Ethical and data compliance requirements

Service providers are required to comply with POPIA, SACE protocols, and DBE school research permissions. This includes following the HEIs' internal protocols and adhering to TICZA's data sharing and storage protocols.

- All data access, school-level activity, or engagement with provincial education departments must follow established DBE research approval and provincial coordination protocols. Service providers are to respect the fact that schools are learning sites first and foremost, and that research, lesson observations, and competency testing must be designed to minimise disruption to teaching and learning.
- The involvement of student teachers, particularly in quintile 1–3 schools should strengthen classroom practice and mentorship capacity, rather than add administrative or supervisory burdens to schools and districts.
- The DBE and PEDs retain oversight of any system-level insights derived from public investments, and findings intended to inform policy must be shared through agreed governance structures before public dissemination.

Eligibility and submission guidelines

Clarification questions may be submitted to Tshegofatso Mashaphu, (tshegofatsomoabelo@jet.org.za) up to 20 March 2026 COB. Please take into account that all submitted questions and responses will be shared in the public domain. Application submissions should be made to tenders@jet.org.za

Format of submissions:

1. Maximum of 10 pages (excluding annexures) per service, using a minimum of 11pt font and single spacing.
2. Providers may bid for multiple TICZA services.
3. A full activity budget.
4. Names of specific staff members that will be involved (short CVs to be included as an annexure and do not count towards the page limit).
5. Additional information as preferred.
6. Compliance documents, CIPC registration, Tax registration etc

TICZA will conduct a desktop process to evaluate all submitted proposals through a Selection Committee comprising SACE, DBE, DHET and Funders. Shortlisted bidders may be required to present their proposals to the Selection Committee.

Successful service providers will be formally notified. A service level agreement (SLA) will be signed, outlining the roles, responsibilities, research protocols, and funding allocations.

While every effort has been made to ensure the information in this document is accurate at the time of release, any errors or omissions in this RfP will be communicated in the public domain if necessary before the submission date.

The TICZA Steering Committee reserves the right not to appoint a service provider for any of the listed services.

Contact for inquiries: All queries regarding this RfP and the submission should be directed to: Tshegofatso Mashaphu, tshgofatsomoabelo@jet.org.za. Applications sent to this email address will not be accepted.

Only emailed applications to tenders@jet.org.za will be considered in PDF format. Annexures need to be included in a single bound PDF. Submissions should not exceed 25 MB.

End.